

## Old Wine in New Bottles: Testing the Keynesian Preposition of Twin Deficit in Case of Pakistan

**Somia Iram, M. Phil**

Applied Economics Research Centre University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan  
E mail: Somia\_iram@yahoo.com

**Shahid Ali**

Lecturer in Economics, Department of Economics, University of Swat, Pakistan  
E mail: Shahid\_aerc@yahoo.com

**Mahpara Sadaqat**

Senior Research Economist/Assistant Professor  
Applied Economics Research centre University Of Karachi, Pakistan  
E mail: mahparasadaqat@yahoo.com

**Fazli Rabbi**

Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, University of Swat , Pakistan  
E mail: rabbi.fazli@gmail.com

### Abstract

*In this endeavor an attempt has been made to investigate the linkage between the current account deficit and budget deficit in Pakistan in order to test the validity of the Keynesian stance, which states that there is positive and significant relationship between the said variables. **Autoregressive distributed lag model (ARDL)** is used for the robustness of long-run relationship between current account deficit and budget deficit in the presence of control variables. For short run dynamics **ECM (Error Correction mechanism)** has applied. To test the validity of the Keynesian proposition and the Ricardian equivalence in the case of Pakistan multivariate Granger causality test developed by **Toda and Yamamoto (1995)** has applied. The empirical analysis in this paper partially supports the Keynesian view that there is a positive relationship between current account deficit and budget deficit In terms of policy implication it is recommended that any policy measures to reduce the budget deficit in Pakistan could well assist in reducing the Pakistan's current account deficit, which will ultimately leads to sustain economic growth.*

**Key words:** Current account, budget deficits and Economic growth

**JEL classification:** B22, H62, E62, O40

### 1. Introduction

The problem of twin deficits has been one of the most disputed issues in economics. Different schools of thoughts have different ideas about the relationship between budget deficits and current account deficits in both developed and developing countries. Following McCoskey and Kao (1999), we define twin deficits as a long-run (positive) relationship between the current account and the budget deficit, including some other factors. The study of twin deficit phenomena got serious attention from researchers due the reason that in most of the situation, twin deficits may leads to economic harms and hurt economic growth. However, sometimes current account deficit is due to the investment opportunities created by technical transformation, while in sometimes it result from reduction in saving rate, which may be due to the change in consumer expenditures, changes in tax rate or changes in fiscal balance (Stockman 2000). The link between an economy's current account deficit and its budget deficit tickled extensive academic debate and empirical testing over the decades.

According to Friedman (2000), when deficits are used rationally, they are means of financing growth and reducing unemployment. The relationship between the budget deficit and current account deficit has examined by many economists. Researchers such as Darrat (1988), Saleh et al. (2005), Fleming (1962), Mundell (1963), Dornbusch (1976), Kawai (1985), and Marston (1985). Volcker (1987), Kearney and Monadjemi (1990), Smyth et al. (1995) Ibrahim and Kumah (1996), Hutchison and Piggott (1984), Islam (1998), Vamvoukas (1999), Anjum and Nishat (2000) Megarbane (2002), McCoskey and Kao (1999), Piersanti (2000), Leachman and Francis (2002), Fidrmuc (2003) and found support for the conventional view that a worsening budget deficit stimulates an increase in current account deficit. According to Hutchison and Piggott (1984) an increase in the budget deficit is likely to raise domestic real interest rates, which in turn, would raise the value of dollar and subsequently would increase the trade deficit, but Mohammadi and Skaggs (1996) in their study have found that the effect of the budget balance on the trade balance, if any, is modest.

Many researchers such as Dewald (1983), Dwyer (1982), Holscher (1983), and Evans (1985) show that interest rates are not affected by the size of the budget deficit, and so there is no relationship between budget deficit and trade deficit. The current account deficit is always high in Pakistan and now it is serving a mounting pressure on economic growth of Pakistan. The repercussions of the Pakistan’s worsening current account deficit have profound effect on the economic condition. A number of factors are responsible for high current account deficit in Pakistan, such as: a hike in general price level, mounting trade deficit and high budget deficit (Government of Pakistan, 2008). In case of Pakistan most of the work has been done on twin deficit phenomena. Our contribution to that work on twin deficit of Pakistan is to investigate the relationship between budget deficit and current account deficit with respect to so several control variables in multivariate analysis frame work in order to test the validity of the Keynesian stance that there is positive and significant relationship between the said variables.

Our work is different from other researchers in the sense that they investigate twin deficit phenomena in the presence of saving investment gap but we introduced GDP, interest rate and exchange rate as control variables. By omitting so many important variables can lead to spurious results because of the specification problem. Moreover, we have employed ARDL frame work of analysis in case of investigating Pakistan’s twin deficit. ARDL is an advance technique of co integration and provide better results than Engle Granger and Johnson co integration techniques. This paper is designed as: section 12 explains the model and data collection procedure, section 3 explains the Methodology and section 4 investigates the empirical results and final section presents the conclusion and policy implication.

**2. Modeling Data and Methodological framework**

**2.1 Modal Specification:**

To capture the relationship between two variables (including control variables) in the multivariate model say trade deficit to budget deficit can be tested by estimating

$$CAD_t = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 BD_t + \lambda_2 GDP_t + \lambda_3 ER_t + \lambda_4 INT_t + \mu \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Where,

CAD = Current Account Deficit

BD = Budget Deficit

GDP = Gross Domestic product

ER = Exchange rate

INT = Interest rate

As  $\mu$  is white noise term and summation  $\lambda$  are polynomials of appropriate orders. Data used for this analysis is of 36 years from 1972 to 2008 and collected from different sources as Statistical year book, Economic survey of Pakistan.

**2.2 Methodology.**

Due to several flaws in the conventional co-integration techniques, in terms of methodology, the study adopts the recently developed Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) framework by Pesaran and Shin (1995, 1999), Pesaran et al. (1996) and Pesaran (1997) <sup>1</sup>. The study we employed the Augmented Dickey–Fuller (ADF), Phillips–Perron (PP) and the Ng- Perron unit root tests to determine the order of integration for all the series.

The error correction version of ARDL model is given below:

$$\Delta CAD_t = \alpha + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta CAD_{t-i} + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta BD_{t-i} + \beta_3 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta GDP + \beta_4 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta ER_{t-i} + \beta_5 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta INT_{t-i} + \lambda_1 CAD_{t-1} + \lambda_2 BD_{t-1} + \lambda_3 GDP_{t-1} + \lambda_4 ER_{t-1} + \lambda_5 INT_{t-1} + \mu \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Where  $\alpha$  is drift component and  $\mu$  white noise. Two well known Criteria for the selection of the modal are Schawrtz Bayesian Criteria (SBC) and Akaike’s Information Criteria (AIC).

We utilize the following equation to estimate the short run coefficients:

$$\Delta CAD_t = \alpha + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta CAD_{t-i} + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta BD_{t-i} + \beta_3 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta GDP + \beta_4 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta ER_{t-i} + \beta_5 \sum_{i=1}^p \Delta INT_{t-i} + \eta EC_{t-1} \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

$\eta$  is the error correction term in the model indicates the pace of adjustment reverse to long run equilibrium following a short run shock. To ensure the goodness of fit of model, the study also conducted the diagnostic tests.

<sup>1</sup> The test is conducted within a multivariate framework to keep away from biases due to the omitted variables incident.

**2.3 Multivariate Granger Causality Tests**

The Toda and Yamamoto (1995) augmented Granger causality test has been obtained in the present study by estimating a two-equation method using the seemingly unrelated regressions (SUR) technique. The two equations, which are estimated, are given below:

$$CAD_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{11} \Delta CAD + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{12} \Delta BD + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{13} \Delta X + \mu \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

$$BD_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{21} \Delta CAD + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{22} \Delta BD + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{23} \Delta X + \mu \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

Vector X stands for control variables, k is the optimal lag order and d is the maximal order of integration of the series in the system.

**3. Estimation results**

**3.1 Testing of the unit root hypothesis**

To test the unit root hypothesis to all variables, ADF test, PP and Ng - Perron test were applied. Results show that the variables are having different order of integration which enables us to apply Auto Regressive Distributive Lag Modal (ARDL) framework.

**Table 1: Unit Root results**

	ADF (Drift& trend)		P- P (Drift& trend)	
	Level	1 <sup>st</sup> diff:	Level	1 <sup>st</sup> diff:
CAD	-4.24*	-7.98*	-4.26*	-9.04*
BD	-5.15*	-6.50*	-5.12*	-10.31*
ER	-2.84	-4.98*	-2.80	-5.01*
INT	-1.98	-5.46*	-2.04	-5.45*
G	-4.68*	-5.10*	-3.09**	-4.00*

Notes: \*(\*\*) shows significance at 1% (5%) level.

**3.2 Autoregressive Lag distributed model (ARDL) Lag selection**

In the first stage, the order of lag length is obtained from unrestricted vector autoregressive (VAR) via Schwartz Bayesian Criteria and Akaike Information Criteria. The progression of lag selection on the basis of ARDL gives the following results:

**Table 2: Lag length Selection & Bound Testing for Co-integration**

Modal 1					
Order Of the lags	AIC	HQ	SBC	F-test Statistics	Wald F-stat:
K = 1	-1.85	-0.48	1.61	4.67*	3.506*
K = 2	-1.12	-0.65	0.74	8.16**	7.25**
Short-run Diagnostic Test-Statistics					
Serial correlation LM, F = 0.55 (0.57)			Heteroscedasticity test F= 1.88(0.18)		
Ramsey RESET test F= 0.71(0.45)			Normality J-B value = 0.72(0.42)		

\*(\*\*) Significant at 10 % (5%) level of significant according to Pesaran et al (2001). and Narayan P (2005)

The results of bound testing approach show that calculated F statistics is 7.25 which is higher than upper bound critical value at 1% level of significant implying that there is indeed a long run relationship among the variables in the model. We also find a stable long run relationship between budget deficit and current account deficit as specified by the CUSUM stability test. In order to estimate the long run coefficients, we regressed the current account deficit on linear term of budget deficit along with control variables.

**Table 3: Estimated Long Run Coefficients using the ARDL Approach**

Model 1		
Dependent Variable CAD		
ARDL(1,2,2,1, 2)		
Regressor	Coefficient	Prob- value
BD	0.03	0.00
G	0.01	0.04
ER	20.5	0.06
INT	-60.1	0.01
R <sup>2</sup> =0.97 R <sup>2</sup> adjusted = 0.96 F-statistics = 605 D-h Stat = 2.04		

As it is seen from table 3 that the estimated processed expected signs and significant at 5% level. The coefficient of budget deficit is 0.03 indicate that in long run a unit increase in budget deficit leads to 3 percent increase in current account deficit.

The coefficient of GDP is also positive and significant means that when economic activities in the country increases investment also increases which put upward pressure on interest rate, because of high interest rate inflow of foreign capital increases which deteriorate the trade balance. With the increase in economic activities demand for imports also increases leads to merchandise trade deficit in the economy. The coefficients of ER and INT are also negative and significant suggesting that a downward pressure in the magnitude of these variables leads to worsening current account deficit. The long run results also indicate that current account deficit is more sensitive to budget deficit and interest rate. For short run dynamics we apply Error correction mechanism. The results of ECM are given in table 4.

**Table 4: Error correction representation of ARDL model**

Model 1		Dependent Variable $\Delta$ CAD
ARDL(1,2,2,1, 2)		
Regressor	Coefficient	Prob- value
$\Delta$ BD	12.57	0.00
$\Delta$ G	0.03	0.04
$\Delta$ ER	-12.34	0.06
$\Delta$ INT	-15.51	0.91
CE(-1)	-0.35	0.05
R-Squared = 0.73 R-Bar-Squared = 0.63 F-statistics = 11.16[.00]		

The estimated lagged error correction term ECt-1 is negative and highly significant. These results support the co integration among the variables represented by equation (1). The feed back coefficient is -0.35 suggests that about 35% disequilibrium is corrected in the current year. The result also suggests that in the short run government budget deficit has significant impact on the current account deficit.

**Table 5: Multivariate Granger Causality Test; Toda - Yamamoto results**

Null Hypothesis	Chi-Square	P-Value
BD does not Granger cause CAD	24.32	0.00
CAD does not Granger cause BD	7.81	0.09

The results of Toda - Yamamoto tests of Granger causality show that there is bidirectional causality between budget deficit and trade deficit.

#### 4. Conclusion and policy implications.

This paper examines the empirical relationship between budget deficit and current account deficit in case of Pakistan over the period of 1971 to 2008, using autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) approach in order to test the validity of the Keynesian stance, which, states that there is positive and significant relationship between the said variables. The results show that in case of Pakistan, the long run Coefficients of control variables (GDP, ER and INT) appeared to be significant and the most significant variable is budget deficit. Hence, the Keynesian stance is valid in case of Pakistan. The feedback coefficient is negative and significant suggesting that about 35% disequilibrium in the previous period is corrected in current year. We find a stable long run relationship between budget deficit and trade deficit as indicated by the CUSUM and CUSUMq stability test. In case of Pakistan trade deficit is showing varying trend mostly increased deficit while budget deficit is reducing the basic reason behind increased deficit can be day by day increasing oil prices which has not only increased cost of production but also freight charges. This dishearts the trade balance. In terms of policy implication it is recommended that any policy measures to reduce the budget deficit in Pakistan could well assist in reducing the Pakistan current account deficit that will ultimately leads to sustain economic growth. It is suggested that the government should curtail its non productive expenditures in order to reduce its budget deficit.

#### References

- 1 Bahmani-Oskoe.,Mohsin and Syeed, 1993,"Payesteh "Budget deficit and value of dollar: An application of co integration :Journal of macroeconomics,vo15,no.4: 661-667
- 2 Banerjee, A, J. Dolado , J.W. Galbraith, and D Henry, 1993, "Cointegration, Error Correction and the econometric analysis of non-stationary data". London: Oxford University Press.
- 3 Dornbusch, Rudiger, 1976, "Expectations and Exchange Rate Dynamics." Journal of Political Economy 84: 101-19.
- 4 Barro R, 1991, 'Economic growth in a cross section of countries', Quarterly Journal of Economics, 106, 407-442
- 5 Barro, R. J, 1998, "Determinants of Economic Growth: A Cross-country Empirical Study, Lionel Robbins Lectures. MIT Press, first edition.

- 6 Barro R., Lee J, 1994, "Sources of Economic Growth", Carnegie-Rochester Conference on Public Policy, 40, 56-79
- 7 Barro R., Sala-I-Martin X, 1995, Economic growth, New York, McGraw-Hill. Inc.
- 8 Bende-Nabende A., Ford L, 1998, 'FDI Policy adjustment and endogenous growth
- 9 Darat, Ali F, 1985, "Are Budget Deficits and Wage Costs Prime Determinants of Inflation? Another Look at the Evidence from the United States and the United Kingdom." *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*.
- 10 Darrat, A. F, 1988, "Have Large Budget Deficits Caused Rising Trade Deficits?" *Southern Economic Journal*, no: 54, pp. 879-87.
- 11 Dewald, William G., 1983, "Federal Deficits and Real Interest Rates: Theory and Evidence." Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, *Economic Review*, 20-29.
- 12 Dwyer, Gerald P., Jr, 1982, "Inflation and Government Deficits." *Economic Inquin*, 315-29.
- 13 Evans, Paul, 1985, "Do Large Deficits Produce Higher Interest Rates?" *American Economic Review*, 68-8.
- 14 Feldstein, Martin, 1982, "Government Deficits and Aggregate Demand." *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 1-20.
- 15 Franek, Jeffrey, 2004, Twin Deficits and Twin Decades" [ksghome.harvard.edu/~jfrankel/Twin\\_Deficits\\_Twin\\_Decades](http://ksghome.harvard.edu/~jfrankel/Twin_Deficits_Twin_Decades).
- 16 Granger, Clive W. J, 1980, "Testing for Causality." *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 320-52. 23.
- 17 Hoelscher, Gregory, 1983, "Federal Borrowing and Short-Term Interest Rates." *Southern Economic Journal*, 319-33.
- 18 Hutchison, Michael M. and Charles Piggott, 1984, "Budget Deficits, Exchange Rates and the Current Account: Theory and U.S. Evidence." Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, *Economic Review*, 5-25.
- 19 Kawai, Masahiro, 1985, "Exchange Rates, the Current Account and Monetary - Fiscal Policies in the Short Run and in the Long Run." *Oxford Economic Papers* 37: 391-425.
- 20 Phillips, P.C. and Perron, P, 1988, Testing for a unit root in a time series regression, *Biometrika*, 75, 335-346.
- 21 Pesran, M.H.,Pesran B, 1997, with microfit 4.0 An interactive Approach", Oxford University Press :Oxford.
- 22 Pesaran MH.,Shin Y, 1999, "An Autoregressive Distributed lag Modeling Approach to contraction Analysis" Chapter 11 in *Econometrics and Economic Theory in the 20 Century: The Ragner Frisch Centennial Symposium*. Strom S (ed). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- 23 Pesaran MH, Shin Y, Smith RJ, 2000, "Structural analysis of vector error correction model with exogenous I(1) variables", *Journal of Econometrics*,97, 293-343
- 24 Pesaran, Shin and Smith, 2001, "Bound Testing Approaches To the Analysis of level Relationship" *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. 16:289-326
- 25 Pakistan Economic Survey, Government of Pakistan, "Various issues"
- 26 Ervi, Kevin D. Philips Gregorowicz, 1992, "Fedral Budget deficit, Money and exchange rate", *Journal of contemporary policy issues* vol.10.no.1:81-90.
- 27 Fleming, Marcus J, 1962, "Domestic Financial Policies under Fixed and Floating Exchange Rates" *IMF Staff Papers* 9, 369-379.
- 28 Friedman, Benjamin M, 2000, "What Have we Learned from the Reagan Deficits and Their Disappearance"? *National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series*.
- 29 Humpage, 1992, "Owen Fan introduction to the international implication of US fiscal deficit" *Economic Review*, vol .28 no3: 27-39.
- 30 Mahdavi Saeid and Ahmad Sorbian, 1993, "the exchange value of dollar and the US trade balance" *Quarterly review of economics and finance*, vol.33.no 4:343-358.
- 31 McCoskey, Suzanne and Chihwa Kao, 1999, Comparing Panel Data Cointegration Tests with an Application to the Twin Deficits Problem. Syracuse University. Mimeo.

#### APPENDIX 1: GRAPH 1.

